

JURUPA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT
JURUPA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

ADDENDUM NO. 1
SERVICES RELATIVE TO PERFORMING ARC FLASH STUDY

Proposers are advised that the Request for Proposals for the above-referenced contract are hereby amended in the following manner and the following manner only:

A. GENERAL

1. All provisions of this Addendum No. 1 are hereby incorporated into the Request for Proposals and shall account for all provisions pursuant to this Addendum No. 1 in submitting their proposals. **Each proposer shall include a dated and signed copy of this Addendum No. 1**

B. CHANGE TO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

1. Revise the due date for the bids submission in Section 4.A, as follows:
Proposals are due no later than 4:00 PM, October 10, 2025, and shall be submitted electronically via email to Mr. Kirill Dolinskiy at kirill.dolinskiy@krdmanagement.com. Proposal shall be submitted as one (1) electronic PDF file. The subject line of the email shall be: **“PROPOSAL: ARC FLASH STUDY”**.
2. Add Section 5.A.2, as follows:
The previous Arch Flash study metadata SKM model files will be provided to the selected Contractor upon contract execution.
3. Add Attachment D - Electrical Panel Inventory as a reference for bidding purposes. Add additional twenty (20) assets to account for any MCCs and Switchgears to a total number of assets/ panels of four hundred two (402).
4. Add Attachment E - 2019 Arc Flash Risk Assessment Update to the 2017 Arc Flash Risk Assessment Report
5. Add Section 8 – Examination Of District-Provided Data
 - A. It is the responsibility of a Proposer to review all the District-provided data and make the assumptions required to meet the project’s intent. If such assumptions are made, the Proposer must clearly identify those assumptions in the proposal. Suppose such assumptions are not clearly stated in the proposal. In that case, the District reserves the right to reject those assumptions if they are brought to the District’s attention by the selected proposer during the execution of the project.
 - B. Each Proposer shall also determine the local conditions which may in any way affect the performance of the work, including local tax structure, contractors’ licensing requirements, availability of required insurance, the prevailing wages, and other relevant cost factors, shall familiarize itself with all federal, state and local laws, ordinances, rules, regulations, and codes affecting the performance of the work, including the cost of permits and licenses required for the work, and shall make such surveys and investigations, including investigations of subsurface or latent physical conditions at the site or where work is to be performed as may be required.

C. Proposers are responsible for consulting the standards referenced in the Contract. The failure or omission of any Proposer to receive or examine any contract documents, forms, instruments, addenda, or other documents shall in no way relieve any Proposer from any obligation with respect to its Bid or to the contract, and no relief for error or omission will be given except as required under State law. The submission of a Bid shall be taken as conclusive evidence of compliance with this section.

6. Add Section 9 – Interpretation of District-Provided Data and Documents

- A. Prospective Proposers unclear as to the true meaning of any part of the scope of work may submit to the District a written request for interpretation.
- B. The prospective Proposer submitting the request is responsible for prompt delivery. Interpretation of the scope of work will be made only by a written addendum duly issued.
- C. The District will not be responsible for any other explanation or interpretations of the proposed documents. If a prospective Proposer becomes aware of any errors or omissions in any part of the proposed scope of work, it is the obligation of the Prospective Proposer to promptly bring it to the attention of the District.
- D. The District will not be responsible for any other explanation or interpretations of the proposed documents.

C. RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS

Question 1: To prepare an accurate fee schedule, we kindly request access to any available planning information, such as:

- a. Facilities list (water, sewer, facilities, parks) included in the project scope
- b. Any record drawings, diagrams, or single-line plans that can be shared with proposers
- c. If possible, an approximate count of electrical panels/equipment per site to help estimate labeling and field work

Answer 1: For the available-to-date record drawings, diagrams, and single-line plans, please see Attachment A as well as Attachment E of the RFP with the previous Arch Flash studies. If any additional information becomes available, it will be provided to the selected Contractor upon contract execution.

For the facilities list and approximate count of the electrical panels, see item B.3 above of this Addendum 1.

Question 2: Are the existing metadata (.DEZ, SKM, or EASY POWER) files available?

Answer 2: See item B.2 above of this Addendum 1.

Question 3: Will the data collection be limited to only three days per week, or can it be carried out throughout the entire week with extended hours beyond the 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM schedule?

Answer 3: The data collection will depend on the availability of the District's staff and can be coordinated/ negotiated on cases-by-case/ site-by-site

basis. For estimating purposes, assume the requirements outlined in the RFP.

Question 4: Could you clarify the amount of work at heights involved, and confirm whether we will receive support with equipment such as cranes?

Answer 4: The work requiring the cranes is not anticipated. However, it is the responsibility of the proposers to verify and evaluate the required work conditions and provide all the necessary labor and materials to perform the work.

Question 5: Will we be responsible for placing the labels ourselves, and if so, does this also include the shock-warning labels?

Answer 5: The District might elect to install the labels with its own personnel. However, for budgeting purposes, it should be assumed that a selected proposer will be responsible for the installation of all the required labels.

The selected proposer should provide all labels/information required to meet the District's Arc Flash regulatory compliance.

Question 6: Regarding the replacement in three years, should this be included in the initial cost, or will a separate quotation be required?

If included, will we also be responsible for installing the replacements or only for providing them?

Answer 6: The three-year label replacement cost should be included in the proposal price as a separate line item.

The District might elect to install the labels with its own personnel. However, for budgeting purposes, it should be assumed that a selected proposer will be responsible for the installation of all required labels.

Question 7: Is Task 2 mandatory, and if so, is it restricted to only the two specified options?

Answer 7: Task 2 - Data Collection and Verification is mandatory. Task 2a may also be required, based on the findings. Any additional activities will be handled either through Task 14 of the RFP (OPTIONAL- Conducting selective repair/ maintenance activities) or by obtaining a separate quote.

Question 8: Optional Tasks (Training, Infrared Thermographic Survey, Purchase and Provide Infrared Camera, and Conducting Selective Repair/Maintenance Activities) - Since these are listed as optional, should we provide pricing for all of them, or can we choose which optional tasks to include in our fee schedule?

Answer 8: These items are optional for the District to decide if to proceed with those tasks. The proposer should provide the information and pricing for those tasks.

Question 9:

The specifications mention separate “Shock Warning” labels for all cabinets containing an exposed component with a source voltage greater than 50 volts.
From our perspective, the Arc Flash warning labels already include all required shock information.
If the District still requires these separate shock-warning labels, could you please clarify the scope—for example, how “all cabinets” is defined in this project (approximate quantity, locations, or any criteria to identify which cabinets need these labels)?

Answer 9:

It is the responsibility of a proposer to evaluate the labeling regulatory requirements. The selected proposer should provide all labels/information required to meet the District’s Arc Flash regulatory compliance.

By: _____
(Proposer’s Company Name)

By: Kirill Dolinskiy 9/30/2025
Kirill Dolinskiy Date

Date Received by Proposer:

(Proposer’s Signature)

(Type or Print Name)

Proposer shall include a signed copy of this Addendum No. 1 with their proposal.